

General Disclaimer

One or more of the Following Statements may affect this Document

- This document has been reproduced from the best copy furnished by the organizational source. It is being released in the interest of making available as much information as possible.
- This document may contain data, which exceeds the sheet parameters. It was furnished in this condition by the organizational source and is the best copy available.
- This document may contain tone-on-tone or color graphs, charts and/or pictures, which have been reproduced in black and white.
- This document is paginated as submitted by the original source.
- Portions of this document are not fully legible due to the historical nature of some of the material. However, it is the best reproduction available from the original submission.

9950-642

FINAL REPORT
DESIGN, FABRICATION, TEST,
QUALIFICATION AND PRICE ANALYSIS OF
"THIRD GENERATION" DESIGN SOLAR CELL MODULES
PART 1: INTERMEDIATE LOAD MODULE

Technical Report 81-7
September 1981

(NASA-CR-169085) DESIGN, FABRICATION, TEST, N82-26792
QUALIFICATION AND PRICE ANALYSIS OF THIRD
GENERATION DESIGN SOLAR CELL MODULES. PART
1: INTERMEDIATE LOAD MODULE Final Report
(ARCO Solar, Inc., Chatsworth, Calif.) 29 p G3/44 28142
Unclas



ARCO Solar, Inc.
Chatsworth, California 91311

Prepared Under Contract No. 955402


for

Jet Propulsion Laboratory
California Institute of Technology
Pasadena, California 91103

FINAL REPORT
DESIGN, FABRICATION, TEST,
QUALIFICATION AND PRICE ANALYSIS OF
"THIRD GENERATION" DESIGN SOLAR CELL MODULES
PART 1: INTERMEDIATE LOAD MODULE

Technical Report 81-7
September 1981

Approved by



W. R. Bottenberg
Director of Development

ARCO Solar, Inc.
Chatsworth, California 91311

Prepared Under Contract No. 955402

for

Jet Propulsion Laboratory
California Institute of Technology
Pasadena, California 91103

The JPL Flat Plate Solar Array Project is sponsored by the U.S. Department of Energy and forms part of the Solar Photovoltaic Conversion Program to initiate a major effort toward the development of low-cost solar arrays. This work was performed for the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology by agreement between NASA and DOE, under NASA Contract.

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor the United States Department of Energy, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, sub-contractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

ABSTRACT

This final design report presents an updated program plan for the design, fabrication, test and qualification of the "third generation" design intermediate load solar cell module. This updated program plan and narrative reflects the design and development work done and progress made in establishing a viable design for these modules. Design alterations from the preproduction plan are discussed based on experience gained during the preproduction phase of the program.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section	Page
1. Introduction	1
2. Updated Program Plan and Narrative	2
3. Discussion of Design Alterations During Preproduction Phase15
4. Engineering and Manufacturing Documentation18
Appendix I	
Proposed Program Plan19
Appendix II	
Engineering and Manufacturing Documentation21

1.0

Introduction

Part I of this report contains the updated program plan and narrative, engineering and manufacturing documentation, and an update documentation of design alterations made during the preproduction phase of the contract. This part of the contract covers the development of a design of an intermediate load center type module which is qualified under the specification, "BLOCK IV Solar Cell Module Design and Test Specification for Intermediate Load Center Applications," dated November 1, 1978.¹

Part II of this report, to be issued later, covers the same material mentioned above for the Residential Module.

2.0 Updated Program Plan and Narrative

The original program plan proposed on July 18, 1979 including task description and schedule is given in Appendix I. The preliminary design review of August 14, 1979 and the second review of September 3, 1980 have covered the design details and rationale for the preproduction intermediate load module design. In this section an updated program plan is presented which reviews the task descriptions, discusses deviations from the plan, and discusses progress and achievements in the program. This plan will focus primarily on the program after September 3, 1980.

2.1 Task Descriptions - Intermediate Load Module

2.1.1 Module Design [2.4.1]¹

The final design for the solar cells and module substantially met the objectives set out in the proposal. The key elements of the design in this proposal are shown in Table 2.1 by category.

In an interim design review on 9/3/80 the design package which supported the preproduction design outlined in Table 2.2 was considered and mutually agreed to by AS, Inc. and JPL. The delay in carrying out the original program was caused by the introduction of design improvements by AS, Inc. over the proposed design. These improvements are outlined in Tables 2.2 and 2.3.

This preproduction design achieved the basic design goals set out in the BLOCK IV specification. Several modifications were made during the preproduction phase, which will be discussed in Section 3. These changes have assured the integrity of the design and allowed it to meet the requirements of the qualification part of the program.

Table 2.5 contains data which compares proposed and nominal as-built performance of the modules.

Improvements in the design over previous generations of designs (represented for instance by the BLOCK III type module ASI 16-1200) include:

1. Larger cells, 102.8 mm vs. 76.2 mm. These larger cells reduce the cost of manufacture by making more efficient use of crystal growers and requiring fewer parts to handle per watt.

¹Figures in brackets refer to paragraph numbers in the proposal statement of work.

TABLE 2.1

Key Elements of Intermediate Load Module Design As Proposed

CELLS

- 100 mm Czochralski single-crystal silicon wafers, 9 mils thick
- P+ back surface field
- Texture etched surface
- Discrete pad ohmic contacts, two sets
- Thick-film printed silver collection grid and contacts
- Shallow diffusion

CIRCUIT

- Redundant busbar interconnects
- Discrete pad contact system for stress relief
- 35 cells connected in one series string, protected by external diodes

MODULE

- 0.3 m x 1.2 m nominal size
- Water-white tempered-glass superstrate
- PVB encapsulant
- 4 mil Tedlar (TM DuPont) back face sheet
- Extruded aluminum frame with rivet fasteners
- Hot melt butyl edge sealant
- Two sets of terminals enclosed in PVC molded junction box

TABLE 2.2

**Key Elements of Intermediate Load Module Design
Preproduction Design (9/3/80)**

CELLS

- 102.8 mm Czochralski single crystal silicon wafers, 12 mils thick
- Texture etched surface
- Discrete pad ohmic contacts, two sets
- Thick film printed silver front collection grid and contacts
- Thick film printed aluminum back collector
- Shallow diffusion

CIRCUIT

- Redundant busbar interconnects
- Discrete pad ohmic contact system for stress relief
- 35 cells connected in one series string, protected by external diode

MODULE

- 1' x 4' nominal size
- Water white tempered glass superstrate
- PVB encapsulant
- Metal foil laminate back face sheet (Tedlar/Steel/Tedlar)
- Extruded aluminum frame with rivet fasteners
- Hot melt butyl edge sealant
- Two sets of terminals enclosed in ABS molded junction box

TABLE 2.3

Design Deviations - Proposal vs. Preproduction Design (9/80)

<u>CELLS</u>	<u>PROPOSED</u>	<u>PREPRODUCTION DESIGN</u>
- Back Surface Field	P+	P+
- Diameter	100 mm one flat	102.8 mm 2 flats
 <u>MODULE</u>		
- Size	0.300 x 1.2 mm	0.304 x 1.22 m (1 x 4 ft)
- Back Face Sheet	Tedlar	Tedlar/Steel/Tedlar
- J-Box	Square, PVC Plastic, Metal Screw	Round, ABS Plastic, Screw Lock With O-Ring

TABLE 2.4

Design Changes -- Preproduction Design vs. Final Design

<u>PREPRODUCTION DESIGN (9/80)</u>		<u>FINAL (AS-BUILT)</u>
<u>MODULE</u>		
- Grounding	No Positive Ground	Back Face Sheet Tabs Grounded to Frame
- Edge Sealant	Butyl Hot Melt	VAMAC (TM DuPont)
- Framing	Expanding Rivets	Counter Sunk Sheet Metal Screws
- Cell Shading	None Permitted	Up to Peripheral Grid Line

TABLE 2.5

Specification
ARCO Solar Module Model ASI-16-2300-20

	<u>PROPOSAL</u>	<u>FINAL DESIGN (NOMINAL)</u>
Open Circuit Voltage, Volts DC	21	20.8
Short Circuit Current*, Amps	2.5	2.5
Current at Maximum Power*, Amps	2.3	2.3
Voltage at Maximum Power*, Volts DC	16.1	16.1
Maximum Power*, Watts	37	37
Cell Diameter, mm	100	102.8
Number of Cells	35	35
Cell Efficiency*, %	14.0	12.5
Fill Factor	0.72	0.72
Area Coverage, %	74.0	76.0
Length, M (In)	1.20 (47.24)	1.22 (47.95)
Width, M (In)	0.300 (11.8)	0.304 (11.97)
Thickness, M (In)	0.04 (1.5)	0.04 (1.5)
Frame	Aluminum Extrusion or Glass Fiber Reinforced Polyester Pultrusions	Aluminum Extrusion

*Standard Conditions at 1000 W/M², 28°C.

ORIGINAL PAGE IS
OF POOR QUALITY

2. Discrete pad ohmic contacts. Old designs use two points of contact at the cell edge. When the cells crack the module fails due to open circuit condition. Placing a solder coated copper buss bar across the surface and contacting at many points makes failure due to cell breakage very unlikely. The discrete pad ohmic contact also provides for stress relief in the interconnect ribbon.
3. Thick film screen printed contacts. This contact system is amenable to high volume production using known technologies and available equipment, thus reducing cost compared to other contact systems. Replacing the silver back collector with aluminum substantially reduces the cost to manufacture cells.
4. Redundant busbar interconnects. Substantially reduces the risk of module failure due to cell breakage.
5. 35 cell module. By choosing the diameter of the cells and the module size carefully, very efficient packing can be obtained for a module using round cells. For intermediate load applications this increase of area efficiency is very important for minimizing system cost. In this module a very respectable 77.0% area coverage is achieved. Since this coverage is made by high efficiency single crystal cells, high module efficiencies result.
6. Water-white tempered glass superstrate. This superstrate provides part of the structural integrity of the module as well as excellent protection from hail damage.
7. Metal foil laminate back face sheet. As discussed in the proposal, AS, Inc. feels that the provision for a hermetically sealed encapsulant package is very important. The Tedlar (TM DuPont)/steel/Tedlar laminate on the back and glass on the front provides an excellent barrier to the transmission of oxygen and water vapor into the encapsulation system. This substantially increases the potential life of the package and interconnect system.
8. Extruded aluminum frame. This provides, along with the glass superstrate, a very rigid module which can take external shock well and can be walked on.
9. Hot melt edge sealant. This sealant protects the edges of the laminate structure and is readily applied in manufacture.

2.1.2 Inspection System Plan [2.4.2]

As part of the September 3, 1980 design review the Inspection System Plan was updated and rewritten to conform with the new design features and the basis of new manufacturing procedures required to implement the design. This plan was accepted by JPL on schedule, and was successfully used to monitor the preproduction phase of the modules.

2.1.3 Preliminary Design Review [2.4.3]

In order to consolidate design changes proposed by AS, Inc. over the proposed design, a second preliminary design review was held on September 3, 1980. Based on this PDR a list of action items was agreed upon and carried out by AS, Inc. A TDM covering these changes and authorizing preproduction was issued by JPL on October 1, 1980 and accepted by AS, Inc. on October 6, 1980.

2.1.4 Preproduction Fabrication, Inspection and Test

2.1.4.1 Fabrication [2.4.4.1]

As will be discussed in Section 3, three separate changes in the module design were made during the course of the preproduction phase of the program as a result of problems found during the testing part of the task. Two of the problems: Floating metal back plane and rivet loosening occurred during the first build of modules in October 1980. The second problem was butyl edge sealant flow during thermal cycle testing, in the November - December 1980 build. The detection of the butyl flow problem did not occur until March of 1981. As a result a third build of modules for the qualification test phase was made in March of 1981. AS, Inc. wished to present a set of modules which would fully qualify in the testing phase and as a result committed to several builds to reach this goal. The set of modules presented in March of 1981 represent the final design type of module which passed the qualification tests, as is discussed in Section 2.1.4.3 of this report.

2.1.4.2 Inspection/Delivery [2.4.4.2]

During the preproduction phase of this program the inspection plan performed according to requirement. Two thirds of the produced modules were sent to JPL for qualification testing.

2.1.4.3 Qualification Test [2.4.4.3]

One third of the produced modules in the March 1981 run were returned and tested by our quality assurance according to Section III Paragraph B of 5101-16A (November 1, 1978).

In Table 2.6 a summary of the results is shown for the tested modules. These modules have met the requirements for this part of the test program for qualification.

2.1.5 Sample Cells [2.4.8]

Thirty-six 2 x 2 cm solar cells with spectral characteristics typical of the cells to be used in fabricating the modules were supplied to JPL on schedule.

2.1.6 Documentation [2.4.9]

2.1.6.1 Program Plan (SC-1)

An updated program plan was presented after the September 1980 design review. This plan was not met on time due to design changes incorporated in the module as a result of problems found during the preproduction phase. All other requirements have been met.

2.1.6.2 Technical Progress Reports (SE-1)

The requirement for these reports was waived in March 1980.

2.1.6.3 Design Review Data (DR-1)

This data has been prepared and sent to JPL.

2.1.6.4 Engineering and Manufacturing Data (CM-1)

This data has been prepared and sent to JPL.

2.1.6.5 Inspection Plan (QA-1)

This plan has been prepared and submitted for approval to JPL. The TDM of October 1, 1980 approved this plan.

2.1.6.6 Price Estimate (MG-1)

Formats A and B have been prepared and SAMICS/SAMIS programs have been run based upon these inputs. Figures 2.1 - 2.5 show the results obtained in this analysis.

2.1.6.7 Delivery Date (QA-3)

The delivery data package for the modules has been prepared and submitted to JPL as required.

TABLE 2.6

Test Result Summary

Final Design Modules*													
	SERIAL NUMBER	TEST VOLTAGE, VOLTS		I (TEST), AMPS		POWER, WATTS		GROUND,		ISOLATION, A		ENVIRONMENT, P/F	
		PRE	POST	PRE	POST	PRE	POST	PRE	POST	PRE	POST	PRE	POST
1	143316	17.32	17.23	2.04	2.02	35.26	34.80	0	1	5	10	PASS	PASS
2	143320	17.32	17.23	2.03	2.01	35.21	34.63	0	1	4	7	PASS	PASS
3	143322	17.32	17.23	2.05	1.94	35.71	33.43	0	0	4	10	PASS	PASS
4	143323	17.32	17.23	1.98	1.96	34.24	33.77	0	0	4	6	PASS	PASS
5	143324	17.32	17.23	1.95	1.92	33.69	38	0	1	4	6	PASS	PASS
6	143328	17.32	17.23	1.95	1.94	33.82	33.43	0	0	5	8	PASS	PASS
7	143331	17.32	17.23	1.97	1.94	34.07	33.43	0	0	4	13	PASS	PASS
8	143334	17.32	17.23	1.95	1.92	33.72	33.08	0	0	5	7	PASS	PASS
9	143337	17.32	17.23	1.99	1.96	34.43	33.77	0	0	4	6	PASS	PASS

*Features Include:

1. Grounded Steel Foil
2. VMAAC Edge Sealant

PROCESS	PRODUCT	VALUE ADDED	PERCENT
PACKG	PAKMOD	226,059 \$/CARTON	1,7126 \$/PEAK-WATT 2,40
FINTEST	MODTEST	243,705 \$/MODULE	7,3850 \$/PEAK-WATT 10,36
CLEANMOD	CLNMOD	10,701 \$/MODULE	,3243 \$/PEAK-WATT ,45
FRAME	FRAMMOD	14,624 \$/MODULE	,8431 \$/PEAK-WATT ,62
HIPUT	HIPOTTEST	4,904 \$/MODULE	,1486 \$/PEAK-WATT ,21
TERMSOD	SODTRLUG	30,558 \$/MODULE	,9260 \$/PEAK-WATT 1,30
EDGTRM	TRMLAM	3,092 \$/MODULE	,1210 \$/PEAK-WATT ,17
POSLAMCT	POSLMCKT	12,370 \$/MODULE	,3749 \$/PEAK-WATT ,53
LAMMOD	LAMCKT	452,728 \$/MODULE	13,7190 \$/PEAK-WATT 19,24
ASLMHOD	ASHMOD	393,302 \$/MODULE	11,9182 \$/PEAK-WATT 16,71
PRLAMCT	PRELMCKT	12,370 \$/MODULE	,3749 \$/PEAK-WATT ,53
TASOD	SODMODCK	31,367 \$/MODULE	,9505 \$/PEAK-WATT 1,33
TACKTSUR	CKTSURTA	42,192 \$/SURCKT	1,2785 \$/PEAK-WATT 1,79
CELSTSD	SCIS	217,862 \$/CELLCK	6,6019 \$/PEAK-WATT 9,26
SPSP	SODPACELL	18,959 \$/CELL	20,0202 \$/PEAK-WATT 28,07
GLSWASH	GLGLASS	68,580 \$/GLAS	2,0782 \$/PEAK-WATT 2,91
AFIXRTYL	DSPRUTYL	98,567 \$/MODULE	2,9869 \$/PEAK-WATT 4,19
TOTAL VALUE ADDED:		9412,980 \$/CARTON	71,3104 \$/PEAK-WATT

(1980 DOLLARS)
10 kW/YEAR

Figure 2.1. SAMICS/SAMIS Cost Estimate for Intermediate Load Module

PROCESS	PRODUCT	VALUE ADDED	PERCENT
PACKG	PAKMOD	20,094 \$/CARTON	,1522 \$/PEAK-WATT 1,16
FINTEST	MODTEST	19,490 \$/MODULE	,5906 \$/PEAK-WATT 4,52
CLEANMOD	CLNMOD	5,266 \$/MODULE	,1596 \$/PEAK-WATT 1,22
FRAME	FRAMMOD	2,201 \$/MODULE	,0667 \$/PEAK-WATT ,51
HIPUT	HIPOTTEST	,772 \$/MODULE	,0234 \$/PEAK-WATT ,18
TERMSOD	SODTRLUG	3,447 \$/MODULE	,1044 \$/PEAK-WATT ,80
EDGTRM	TRMLAM	1,098 \$/MODULE	,0333 \$/PEAK-WATT ,25
POSLAMCT	POSLMCKT	1,258 \$/MODULE	,0381 \$/PEAK-WATT ,29
LAMMOD	LAMCKT	38,920 \$/MODULE	1,1794 \$/PEAK-WATT 9,02
ASLMHOD	ASHMOD	47,853 \$/MODULE	1,4501 \$/PEAK-WATT 11,09
PRLAMCT	PRELMCKT	1,258 \$/MODULE	,0381 \$/PEAK-WATT ,29
TASOD	SODMODCK	2,727 \$/MODULE	,0826 \$/PEAK-WATT ,63
TACKTSUR	CKTSURTA	3,745 \$/SURCKT	,1135 \$/PEAK-WATT ,87
CELSTSD	SCIS	37,068 \$/CELLCK	1,1233 \$/PEAK-WATT 8,59
SPSP	SODPACELL	6,961 \$/CELL	7,3504 \$/PEAK-WATT 56,23
GLSWASH	GLGLASS	8,215 \$/GLAS	,2489 \$/PEAK-WATT 1,90
AFIXRTYL	DSPRUTYL	10,658 \$/MODULE	,3230 \$/PEAK-WATT 2,47
TOTAL VALUE ADDED:		1725,501 \$/CARTON	13,0720 \$/PEAK-WATT

(1980 DOLLARS)
100 kW/YEAR

Figure 2.2. SAMICS/SAMIS Cost Estimate for Intermediate Load Module

PROCESS	PRODUCT	VALUE ADDED		PERCENT
PACKG	PAKMOD	3.589 \$/CARTON	■ .0272 \$/PEAK-WATT	.37
FINTEST	MODTEST	1.975 \$/MODULE	■ .0598 \$/PEAK-WATT	.62
CLEANMOD	CLNMOD	4.099 \$/MODULE	■ .1242 \$/PEAK-WATT	1.71
FRAME	FRAMMOD	1.055 \$/MODULE	■ .0320 \$/PEAK-WATT	.44
HIPOT	HIPOTTEST	.403 \$/MODULE	■ .0122 \$/PEAK-WATT	.17
TERMSOD	SODTRLUG	1.155 \$/MODULE	■ .0350 \$/PEAK-WATT	.48
EDGTHM	TRMLAM	.740 \$/MODULE	■ .0224 \$/PEAK-WATT	.31
POSAMCT	POSAMCKT	.345 \$/MODULE	■ .0105 \$/PEAK-WATT	.14
LAMMOD	LAMCKT	6.107 \$/MODULE	■ .1851 \$/PEAK-WATT	2.55
ASLHMOD	ASHMOD	18.031 \$/MODULE	■ .5464 \$/PEAK-WATT	7.53
PRLAMCT	PRELAMCKT	.345 \$/MODULE	■ .0105 \$/PEAK-WATT	.14
TASOD	SODMODCK	.452 \$/MODULE	■ .0137 \$/PEAK-WATT	.19
TACKTSUB	CKTSUBTA	.677 \$/SUPCKT	■ .0205 \$/PEAK-WATT	.28
CELSTSOO	SCIS	19.564 \$/CELLCK	■ .5929 \$/PEAK-WATT	8.17
SPSP	SODPACELL	5.089 \$/CELL	■ 5.3734 \$/PEAK-WATT	74.04
GLSWASH	CLGLASS	3.037 \$/GLAS	■ .0920 \$/PEAK-WATT	1.27
AFIXRTYL	DSPBUTYL	3.306 \$/MODULE	■ .1002 \$/PEAK-WATT	1.38
TOTAL VALUE ADDED:		957.948 \$/CARTON	■ 7.2572 \$/PEAK-WATT	

(1980 DOLLARS)
1 MW/YEAR

Figure 2.3. SAMICS/SAMIS Cost Estimate for Intermediate Load Module

PROCESS	PRODUCT	VALUE ADDED		PERCENT
PACKG	PAKMOD	2.735 \$/CARTON	■ .0207 \$/PEAK-WATT	.30
FINTEST	MODTEST	1.166 \$/MODULE	■ .0353 \$/PEAK-WATT	.51
CLEANMOD	CLNMOD	4.048 \$/MODULE	■ .1227 \$/PEAK-WATT	1.78
FRAME	FRAMMOD	.996 \$/MODULE	■ .0302 \$/PEAK-WATT	.44
HIPOT	HIPOTTEST	.355 \$/MODULE	■ .0108 \$/PEAK-WATT	.16
TERMSOD	SODTRLUG	1.041 \$/MODULE	■ .0315 \$/PEAK-WATT	.46
EDGTHM	TRMLAM	.719 \$/MODULE	■ .0218 \$/PEAK-WATT	.32
POSAMCT	POSAMCKT	.299 \$/MODULE	■ .0091 \$/PEAK-WATT	.13
LAMMOD	LAMCKT	6.599 \$/MODULE	■ .2000 \$/PEAK-WATT	2.90
ASLHMOD	ASHMOD	16.534 \$/MODULE	■ .5010 \$/PEAK-WATT	7.26
PRLAMCT	PRELAMCKT	.299 \$/MODULE	■ .0091 \$/PEAK-WATT	.13
TASOD	SODMODCK	.346 \$/MODULE	■ .0105 \$/PEAK-WATT	.15
TACKTSUB	CKTSUBTA	.532 \$/SUPCKT	■ .0161 \$/PEAK-WATT	.23
CELSTSOO	SCIS	18.472 \$/CELLCK	■ .5598 \$/PEAK-WATT	8.12
SPSP	SODPACELL	4.966 \$/CELL	■ 5.1464 \$/PEAK-WATT	74.62
GLSWASH	CLGLASS	2.764 \$/GLAS	■ .0837 \$/PEAK-WATT	1.21
AFIXRTYL	DSPBUTYL	2.941 \$/MODULE	■ .0891 \$/PEAK-WATT	1.29
TOTAL VALUE ADDED:		910.419 \$/CARTON	■ 6.8971 \$/PEAK-WATT	

(1980 DOLLARS)
2 MW/YEAR

Figure 2.4. SAMICS/SAMIS Cost Estimate for Intermediate Load Module




Figure 2.5. SAMICS/SAMIS Estimate for Cost/Peak Watt for Factory Volume

3.0 Discussion of Design Alterations During Preproduction Phase

This section describes those design alterations which were required to make a module which qualifies under the test specification. These design alterations were made to the preproduction approved design of September 3, 1980 and are all incorporated in the attached data package. Table 3.1 shows the design elements in the final design.

3.1 Problems With Expanding Rivet Fasteners

Expanding rivet fasteners were originally proposed to connect the frame extrusion together. During thermal cycling of the fabricated modules it was found that the rivets loosened and backed out, causing the frames to be loose. A change was made to countersink the aluminum end pieces to accept a sheet metal screw. Countersinking was required to provide a flush surface to meet the length size requirement. The sheet metal screw also provided a positive permanent contact of the foil tab to the frame. This requirement arose as a result of the problem found in the next section.

3.2 Problems With Floating Ground Back Plane Foil

In the initial design the laminated steel foil back face sheet was not grounded to the frame or any external ground. During the HiPot test arcing occurred above 500 volts from the foil to the frame due to capacitive coupling. This problem was solved by putting tabs on the back face sheet which fit between the end-cap and side rail during framing. The metal screws then pierced the tabs and connected foil, end-cap and frame. By direct grounding of the foil it was found that the leakage current was less than 10 μ amps at 3000 VDC, indicating that the circuit-to-foil link was good. This design successfully passed qualification testing.

3.3 Cosmetic Problem of Butyl Hot Melt Flow During Thermocycling

An edge sealant between the frame and laminate is required to protect the edge of the laminate from moisture and oxygen entry. The originally specified material was based on a butyl hot melt sealant which was easily handled in manufacturing. However, during thermal cycling it was found that the sealant flowed and was pooled by gravity to a slight extent on the bottom of the inside of the frame. This was not a failure of function per se but did represent a cosmetic change in the appearance of the module after testing. To cure this problem a change was made to a hot melt sealant based on VAMAC (TM DuPont). This sealant has similar properties to the butyl sealant but does not flow at high temperatures.

TABLE 3.1

**Key Elements of Intermediate Load Module Design
Final Design (3/1/81)**

CELLS

- 102.8 mm Czochralski single crystal silicon wafers, 12 mils thick
- Texture etched surface
- Discrete pad ohmic contacts, two sets
- Thick film printed solar front collection grid and contacts
- Thick film printed aluminum back collection
- Shallow diffusion

CIRCUIT

- Redundant busbar interconnects
- Discrete pad ohmic contact system for stress relief
- 35 cells connected in one series string, protected by external diodes

MODULE

- 1' x 4' nominal size
- Water white tempered glass superstrate
- PVB encapsulant
- Metal foil laminate back face sheet (Tedlar/Steel/Tedlar)
- Metal foil grounded to frame by tabs at back face sheet corners
- Extruded aluminum frame with counter sunk sheet metal screws
- Hot melt VAMAC (TM DuPont) edge sealant
- Two sets of terminals enclosed in ABS molded junction box

Problem With Cell Shading

Due to close spacing of cells and drift during lamination all modules produced have one or more cells slightly shaded by the frame. Based on agreement, shading of cells is now permitted to the outer peripheral grid line on the cells.

The complete, final sets of CM-1 data have been delivered and the interface control drawing, and module assembly drawings are included in Appendix I.

ORIGINAL PAGE IS
OF POOR QUALITY

ORIGINAL PAGE IS
OF POOR QUALITY

APPENDIX I

PROPOSED PROGRAM PLAN BLOCK IV Intermediate Load Solar Cell Development, JPL No. 955402

Task Descriptions

Intermediate Load

1. Perform design of intermediate load solar cell module including details, layouts and assembly drawings. Incorporate innovations that lead to cost reductions and/or better performance.
2. Fabricate and assemble 29 solar cell modules according to the drawing requirements specified in Task 1.
3. Perform a detailed inspection of each module per the Detailed Inspection System Plan developed under Task 13e.
4. Perform qualification testing of 9 modules in accordance with JPL test document, Exhibit I-L.
5. Deliver 29 Intermediate Load Solar Cell modules to JPL.

Design Reviews

6. Prepare and present at JPL, a preliminary and a final design, production and inspection review for both the Intermediate Load and Residential Load modules.

2 cm x 2 cm Solar Cells

7. Fabricate (36) 2 cm x 2 cm solar cells for each module design. These cells shall have spectral characteristics typical of the cells to be used in both types of modules.

Documentation


8. a. Program Plan - Prepare a program plan that identifies milestones and tasks necessary to complete this program.
- b. Technical Progress - Prepare monthly reports that give the status of the program, citing progress and problems.
- c. Design Review Data - Prepare preliminary and final design review packages including the design, rationale,

predicted performance, manufacturing data, inspection plan, etc.

- d. Engineering and Manufacturing Data - Provide drawings, manufacturing flow charts, and interface control drawings.
- e. Inspection System Plan - Prepare an inspection plan to describe the steps necessary to insure an acceptable module when fabricated.
- f. SAMICS/SAMIS Price Estimate - Prepare cost estimates for fabrication of both modules.
- g. Delivery Data - Prepare data reports documenting performance characteristics of each module.
- h. Final Design Report - Prepare a final report which updates the actual design and development Engineering and Manufacturing documentation.

APPENDIX II

Engineering and Manufacturing Documentation




~~SIDE VIEW SHOWN WITH
FRAME ENDS REMOVED~~

[illegible]


7. SECTIONS A-A, B-B, C-C, D-D ARE SHOWN ON SHEET 2
8. MINIMUM MODULE WEIGHT: 5.5 KC (12 LB)
9. CELL AREA TO MAX MODULE AREA RATIO = .77
10. MAX. STITCH ANGLE: 33° (STITCHES 10°-14° MAX)
11. MAX. AREAL POWER: 100 WATTS/CM² (50 C/MOCT), 100 MW/CM²
12. THE CUE MUST BE USED FOR ALL CUE-DRIVEN
13. DIMENSIONS IN INCHES AND MILLIMETERS

ALL DIMENSIONS SHOWN ARE IN INCHES UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED. DIMENSIONS IN PARENTHESES ARE IN MILLIMETERS. DIMENSIONS IN SQUARE BRACKETS ARE IN METERS. DIMENSIONS IN PARENTHESES ARE IN MILLIMETERS. DIMENSIONS IN SQUARE BRACKETS ARE IN METERS.


1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8




SECTION **D-D**
SCALE: 4/1



SECTION **C-C**
SCALE: 4/1



SECTION **A-A**
TYP LAMINATION LAYUP
SCALE: NONE



SECTION **B-B**
SCALE: NONE



ORIGINAL PAGE IS OF POOR QUALITY

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

11710

1 - PLEASE FOR P220 PERIOD

REVISÉ PER DRM SSO 10-22-81 ELS



SECTION 13-13

VIEW A-A
SECTION BOX COVER ITEM 9
NOT SHOWN

4. **A** INSTALL JUNCTION BOX PER SPEC 31-0600 USING ADHESIVE ITEM # ON ITEM 11.

2. TEST CONDUCTIVITY PER ASM SPEC 31-016.
3. DIELECTRIC WITHSTANDING VOLTAGE:
500V MAX AT 1000 PPM. TEST
TEMPERATURE 50°C MAX
4. TEST AT 25°C. 250V MAX

1	424	70-260--00	LABEL-26008 WARNING (W/AMMUNITION)	1
2	351	700569-24	2-1005, GA, 400V	2
3	424	0-1188	LABEL, GND	3
4				4
5				5
6				6
7				7
8	330	01228	SOCKET, FLAT/MTD	8
9	345	01101-01	WOMERS, PLANK 3005	9
10	440	01016	LABEL, ID.	10
11	276	70-440-01	ADHESIVE RIV 718	11
12	505	01478	SILICONE FLEX SHE	12
13	310	70-239--01	SCREW, 1/2" X 1/4" UNF, TAP, HER WARMER HB	13
14	332	01792	COVER W/O-RING	14
15	352	01149	LANTION BOX	15
16				16
17	424	01535--02	LABEL--WARNING	17
18				18
19	284	700734	SEALANT, WOMATHERN 415 CAG	19
20	265	01211--01	MOBILE PRITE END	20
21				21
22	824	01027	EXTRATE 4537	22
23				23
24	202	01004	PLATE OR	24
25	202	01004	PLATE OR	25
26				26
27				27
28				28
29				29
30				30
31				31
32				32
33				33
34				34
35				35
36				36
37				37
38				38
39				39
40				40
41				41
42				42
43				43
44				44
45				45
46				46
47				47
48				48
49				49
50				50
51				51
52				52
53				53
54				54
55				55
56				56
57				57
58				58
59				59
60				60
61				61
62				62
63				63
64				64
65				65
66				66
67				67
68				68
69				69
70				70
71				71
72				72
73				73
74				74
75				75
76				76
77				77
78				78
79				79
80				80
81				81
82				82
83				83
84				84
85				85
86				86
87				87
88				88
89				89
90				90
91				91
92				92
93				93
94				94
95				95
96				96
97				97
98				98
99				99

[illegible]

ARCO Solar, Inc. 

MODULE ASSY

SECRET

48.00	10/11/19
-------	----------